



CONTENTS

- 3 Introduction
- 5 Managing PersonalConnections (E-I)
- 10 Taking In Information to BetterEvaluate Options (S-N)
- 17 Making Solid Decisions (T–F)
- 23 Managing Projects and Meeting

 Deadlines (J–P)



Introduction

This guide looks at particular facet pole combinations for you to consider as you work with clients to increase their self-awareness and understanding. The content is divided into four application areas: managing personal connections, taking in information to better evaluate options, making solid decisions, and managing projects and meeting deadlines.

How to Use This Guide

Each combination of two facet poles described below provides a summary of how the two poles can be used together, followed by a tip for enhancing clients' use of that combination. As a practitioner, you can use

this guide to help clients focus
more on their connections with
others and be more transparent with
their team about how they arrive at certain
ideas or decisions. Furthermore, discussing the
steps individuals use to arrive at a conclusion is
a valuable exercise in understanding why some
decisions are harder to make than others.

In addition, examining project management style and the process of getting things done can provide an opportunity for them to refine behaviors to create a more streamlined or effective approach.

And you can work with your clients to help them be more deliberate in how they use these facet pole combinations effectively to get appropriate reactions and results.



Managing Personal Connections (E-I)

Flexing MBTI® Step II™ Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

Initiating and Contained

These two facet poles inform individuals' approach to personal interaction with others. It's possible for people with this combination to train themselves to reach out to others, whether it's to build a more robust professional network or to gain visibility within the organization. Scoring toward the Contained pole of the Expressive-Contained facet might signify that although they also scored toward the Initiating pole of the Initiating-Receiving facet and make an effort to engage with others, that effort might be superficial. Clients with these results may not be comfortable sharing personal information and may tend to keep the conversation light, creating a barrier in forming an in-depth connection.



think about how this balance of facet poles might shift if there were more personal engagement or disclosure, or about which facet pole might feel more pertinent. Helping clients manage how they are perceived in terms of how they connect with others can have a positive impact on their overall visibility within the organization.

Expressive and Reflective

Sometimes the way people interact with the world is different from how they learn about the world.

pole of the Expressive—Contained facet

tend to be comfortable sharing

personal information and can

sometimes be verbose. So it



retreat and become less social.

Perhaps they are trying to learn about something and need a quiet space to do so.

People who score toward the Reflective pole of the Active—Reflective facet tend to have better recall if they can read about something rather than have a conversation about it. So when you want to talk to them about some topic and they don't seem ready yet, it may be because they want to spend some time on their own reading about it before discussing it.

FACILITATION TIP: For clients who favor both of these facet poles, encourage them to share with colleagues that they learn best by reflecting so that others don't take it personally when they try to



create some space for themselves.

Helping your clients become aware of
the expectations of others will help them feel
and appear more tuned in to them.

Enthusiastic and Intimate

When people score toward the Enthusiastic pole of the Enthusiastic–Quiet facet, their energy can be contagious! So it would seem that their attitude would be "the more, the merrier," right?

Not necessarily. Some people who demonstrate enthusiasm may prefer to have deeper relationships with a handful of people rather than a more broad collection of acquaintances with whom they may feel less connected.

Sometimes other people may misinterpret their energy

and desire to be in



the middle of the action as a need to be constantly connected with a large number of people. If they also score toward the Intimate pole of the Gregarious—Intimate facet, that may not be the case, and too much social contact may actually be distracting for them. They may prefer to focus their energy on one person at a time to form a more substantial connection.

FACILITATION TIP: For clients with this facet pole combination, you might suggest that they consider lowering their energy level around colleagues with whom they don't have a real connection.

Otherwise, those colleagues may misinterpret their enthusiasm as being insincere. Help your clients become aware of the impact their energy can have on others, and how it can sometimes be perceived as interest that is not really there.



Realistic and Original

Taking In Information to Better Evaluate Options (S-N)

People favoring the Realistic pole
of the Realistic–Imaginative facet and
the Original pole of the Traditional–Original
facet tend to enjoy evaluating "what is" as a part
of their process of taking in and experiencing
information. At the same time, they are interested
in putting their own stamp on things and being
part of creating the potential reality. Their ideas
are grounded in experience and being effective,
but they are able to conceptualize doing this in a
unique and individual way.

It seems as though people who score
toward these poles would be able to
invent something very practical
and useful, since the initial



Flexing MBTI[®] Step II[™] Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

research that went into creating
the product was likely based on
figuring out what was working for them
and why the shift or change might be necessary
to improve the efficiency of the task or product.

FACILITATION TIP: Remind clients that the common sense that people favoring the Realistic pole tend to employ is quite helpful in informing the utility of creating something that others would actually find effective. You can help your clients leverage this combination to blend aspects of the facet poles in a practical and productive way.

Traditional and Theoretical

People who favor the Traditional pole of the Traditional—Original facet feel more comfortable



when they know what they
can expect from situations and
experiences. They can be changeaverse at times, or at least somewhat resistant
to change. However, diving into understanding
what contributes to a sound theory can hold their
interest. In one sense, people who prefer the
Theoretical pole of the Experiential—Theoretical
facet see theories as being grounded in reality and
therefore appreciate the context from which
they came.

Similarly, people who are heavily steeped in tradition tend to want to uphold those practices that honor where they have come from but also where they are going. Those who favor the Theoretical pole tend to focus on the future, but as a precursor try to understand how it is shaped



by the past. People who favor these
two facets focus on trends and patterns
in order to understand what has worked in
the past and what could work in the future.

FACILITATION TIP: Talk to your clients about how they naturally examine and analyze patterns, and how that helps substantiate theories in reality.

Therefore, the potential for change can be more easily integrated by themselves and maybe even by their colleagues. Work with your clients to assess when it is better to hold on to what is working and when they might experiment with trying something new.

Experiential and Conceptual

Often for people who favor both of these facet poles there is a conflict between learning for the sake of knowledge—more than for what the knowledge can accomplish—and drawing on specific experience of what has worked in the past. The tension exists in terms of thinking more about the idea of something than about how realistic the application of that idea could be. People who prefer the Experiential pole of the Experiential—Theoretical facet like to focus on events, while people who prefer the Conceptual pole of the Practical—Conceptual facet like to focus on ideas.

It is possible that a need for more specific information could



prevent a person from exploring new ideas without knowing exactly how that information would be applied to future work. For example, in a strategy session a person with this facet combination might first look at a successful event to assess the plausibility of repeating the event's success (such as a good sales month). If the person spends too much time analyzing which customers purchased specific products, it might keep him or her from focusing on a potential future need or emerging industry trend that is likely to affect future purchases of the same product.

FACILITATION TIP: Clients can benefit from gaining hands-on experience and then using that experience to develop further understanding of a concept. That is, experience

can create opportunities for new and maybe even better ideas that may prove to be more practical. Help your clients see that this process can be very productive if they can work through and manage the conflict.



Making Solid Decisions (T–F)

Flexing MBTI® Step II™ Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

Questioning and Accepting

People who favor both of these facet poles tend to first use the Questioning pole of the Questioning—Accommodating facet to try to understand aspects of a decision by clarifying facts. Their tenacious questioning can facilitate their trust in the final decision. Once their questions have been answered, they can express their Accepting pole of the Critical—Accepting facet. They are ready to move on and implement the decision because they have performed their due diligence and assessed any discrepancies.

However, going from asking myriad questions to coming to consensus may sometimes happen too quickly because the questioning



process is now going on internally.

When these individuals stop asking questions, it may seem to indicate a lack of interest or "giving up," when actually they are paying more attention to what is good about the decision and have made a shift toward accepting the implications, whatever they may be.

FACILITATION TIP: For clients with this facet pole combination, talk to them about the need to clarify their decision-making process, so that other people don't mistake their quick agreement for a lack of engagement. Help them assess their style of establishing trust in their process and the impact it has on others.



Tough and Empathetic

These two facet poles speak to people's internal thoughts as they move through the decision-making process. Empathy and trying to understand another person's experience can be a powerful element of the decision-making process. Decision makers who favor the Empathetic pole of the Logical–Empathetic facet are often able to gain a clear perspective on how other people may ultimately be affected by a decision. If they also favor the Tough pole of the Tough–Tender facet, they may not seem to be consistent in their approach in trying to understand others.

In fact, empathy and toughness can exist at the same time. People can understand the feelings



of others while also prioritizing
the bottom line and basing their
decision on the facts that support it. Many
leaders use empathy to gain insight into team
members' experiences, but they ultimately have
to make decisions based on what is good for the
business. Sometimes this results in team members
and colleagues feeling that their concerns have
not been taken into account when that's not
necessarily the case.

taking time to explain both their perspective and why their focus is so narrow may help others understand the seeming contradiction in their presentation of both understanding and yet not agreeing. At the same time, it might be a benefit to leverage more empathy



and show more flexibility in exploring alternatives.

Logical and Compassionate

The blending of different aspects of these facet poles allows for a more holistic vision during the decision-making process. While the Logical pole of the core facet, Logical-Empathetic, is focused on data and cause and effect, it includes the additional nuance of paying attention to other people's values and feelings before arriving at a final decision. For clients who express both of these facet poles, the benefit is the opportunity to flex to either side of the T-F preference pair in terms of facts and values, even though other people may think they are being wishy-washy or inconsistent in their focus. You can increase these clients'



understanding by reinforcing that they prefer facts but also want to pay attention to how those facts interact with their feelings about the decision.

FACILITATION TIP: Encourage your clients to remind other people that for them logic comes first, before their values enter into the analysis and before a final decision is made, so they will not question their process. They will get better buy-in from teammates if they inform them of the process through which they reached the decision.



Managing
Projects and
Meeting
Deadlines
(J-P)

Flexing MBTI® Step II™ Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

Methodical and Pressure-Prompted

These facet poles speak to how people approach their work in terms of focus and time management. People who favor the Pressure-Prompted pole of the Early Starting-Pressure-Prompted facet need the pressure of a looming deadline to activate their sense of urgency to complete things, and they seem to have their own sense of timing. Their ability to dive deep into the flow of the process and create their best work right up against the deadline appears to be counter to Methodical people's tendency to prepare in advance to complete a task. But in fact these two facet poles work very well together.



For people who favor the Methodical pole, much of the preparation happens internally as they think about how best to complete the task. By the time they begin the actual task creation, the plan has already been put into place and each step and aspect of the plan has been well thought through. For them the challenge is that they are the only ones who see their internal process, so to the external world they may seem unprepared for the challenge. With this combination of facet poles, an important component of the process is keeping others informed. In addition, people who favor these poles must give themselves enough time on the front end to play with the method so they can attend to important and necessary details.



Flexing MBTI[®] Step II[™] Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

FACILITATION TIP: When you are working with clients who favor these facet poles, remind them to inform others of their internal process for creating the method, so that it does not appear erratic or ill-informed and others will not jump to conclusions about their style or process of accomplishing tasks.

Scheduled and Emergent

These facet poles indicate the process by which people reach their goals. People who favor the Scheduled pole of the Scheduled Spontaneous facet tend to prefer routine and like to plan things out ahead of time so they know what they can expect in the future. They are different from those who favor the Emergent pole of the Methodical—Emergent facet, who tend

to dive into a process without
a plan and let things evolve
organically. It is possible that having
some semblance of what the schedule
requires allows for some flexibility once they
begin the work. Then they rely on their belief that
they will find a way to accomplish their goal based
on both their preparation and their ability to wait a
little longer to learn more about what may
be required.

A blend of predictability of procedures (Scheduled) and a little less formality and some improvising (Emergent) can allow for more flexibility to stay open to changes in the plan so that the work will not need to be redone if the situation changes. Externally these people may seem to go with the flow, when in fact they have spent time



Flexing MBTI[®] Step II[™] Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

thinking about the best way to accomplish their goal.

FACILITATION TIP: Help your clients recognize that timing could be an important component in the shift from planning to doing to make sure they are being efficient and effective. Work with them to find a balance so they do not spend too much time in either phase of the process.

Early Starting and Casual

This facet pole combination has to do with people's approach to deadlines and completing projects.

Part of the benefit of diving in to finish tasks early is potentially having more control over timing and the work pace.

This is how the Casual pole of the Systematic–Casual

facet and the Early Starting pole
of the Early Starting—Pressure—
Prompted facet work together. Early
Starting people want to give themselves
plenty of time to finish their work without the
stress of coming too close to the deadline. The
extra effort of early planning is mitigated by a sense
of control over the process and when the project
will be completed. Alternatively, Casual people like
to finish work, as they feel motivated in their own
time and are open to letting things just happen
without as much desire to control the process.

racilitation tip: People with this facet pole
combination often appear more relaxed despite
the due diligence involved in beginning
work well before it is expected to be
finished. What they are trying to
avoid is the panic of potentially



Flexing MBTI[®] Step II[™] Facets Appropriately to Maximize Effectiveness

missing a deadline, so they give
themselves some wiggle room
to maneuver when new information is
needed or presented. Then they can maintain
their casual pace and complete the work to their
own satisfaction. Help your clients make sure their
process is transparent so that others do not make
assumptions about their level of engagement.

